

Broomfield House Restoration

Enfield Council

Report of the workshops that took place on:

13 January 2011

and

15 January 2011



Contents

1.	Introduction	4
2.	Workshop 1: 13 January, 6:30pm to 8:30pm	6
2.1	Group Discussion – Table 1	6
2.1.1	Community Space	6
2.1.2	Homes for Older People	7
2.1.3	Park Café	8
2.1.4	Financial Issues	9
2.1.5	General Comments	9
2.2	Group Discussion – Table 2	10
2.2.1	Community Space	10
2.2.2	Homes for Older People	11
2.2.3	Park Café	12
2.2.4	Financial Issues	12
2.2.5	General Comments	13
3.	Workshop 2: 15 January, 2:00pm to 4:00pm	15
3.1	Group Discussion – Table 1	15
3.1.1	Community Space	15
3.1.2	Homes for Older People	16
3.1.3	General Comments	16
3.2	Group Discussion – Table 2	17
3.2.1	Community Space	17
3.2.2	Homes for Older People	17
3.2.3	Financial Issues	18
3.2.4	General Comments	18
3.3	Group Discussion – Table 3	18
3.3.1	Community Space	18
3.3.2	Homes for Older People	19
3.3.3	Park Café	19
3.3.4	Financial Issues	20
3.3.5	General Comments	20
4.	Additional Feedback	22
4.1.1	Community Space	22
4.1.2	Homes for Older People	22
4.1.3	Park Café	23
4.1.4	Financial Issues	23
4.1.5	General Comments	23

Workshop Report

5.	Enfield Council's Consultation response	25
5.1	Key Changes to the Scheme	27
6.	Conclusion	29
6.1	Next Steps	29
6.2	Further Information	29
7.	Appendix 1: List of Residents' Groups	30
8.	Appendix 2: Invitation Letter	31
9.	Appendix 3 – Community Facilities Study	32

1. INTRODUCTION

Following the workshops undertaken on Enfield Council's Stage A report for Broomfield House, in November 2011, Green Issues Communications was appointed to facilitate a public consultation programme on the emerging proposals for Broomfield House, known as the Stage D proposals.

This consultation programme culminated in two workshops and a public exhibition held over two days. The workshops were designed to gain feedback from those residents who would be involved in Enfield Council's statutory consultation on the proposals for Broomfield House and those local groups which have been involved with the House over recent years. The exhibition was designed to gain feedback from the wider population and invitations to this event were sent out to in excess of 9,000 local households. The results of the public exhibition are summarised in a separate Exhibition Report.

The workshops took place at Broomfield School, Wilmer Way on the following dates:

- Thursday 13 January, between 6:30pm and 8:30pm
- Saturday 15 January, between 2:00pm and 4:00pm

Invitations were sent out to local residents who would be involved in a statutory consultation run by Enfield Council and local interest groups (see Appendix 1) prior to the event (see Appendix 2).

The purpose of running these workshops was to:

- Update residents on the previous workshops;
- Explain the emerging Stage D proposals;
- Gain feedback from residents to inform the emerging proposals;
- Answer any questions that residents had on Broomfield House and the proposals for its restoration.

The workshops were split into two sections. The first section comprised a short presentation given by Mike Brown (Project manager, Enfield Council), Henry Bird (Architect, Shephard Epstein Hunter) and Ed Grieve (Communications, Green Issues Communications). The second section of the workshops involved splitting into groups to discuss the proposals further. Residents entered round table discussions with members of the project team, whilst additional members of the project team acted as scribes, noting down comments made by the residents, residents' views and questions asked of the project team. This format allowed for:

- An in-depth explanation of the proposals to restore Broomfield House;
- A question and answer session between the residents and the project team;
- Residents to make comments;
- Residents to give opinions;
- Residents to discuss the proposals amongst themselves and members of the project team.

This report, compiled by Green Issues Communications, provides a summary of the feedback received at the workshops. It should be noted that the comments detailed in sections 2 and 3 represent personal views expressed by individual residents except where they refer to a specific group.

2. WORKSHOP 1: 13 JANUARY, 6:30PM TO 8:30PM

The following residents were in attendance at this workshop:

Resident	Group
Mr Ron Tabor	Broomfield House Trust
Mr Laki Marangos	Friends of Broomfield Park
Mr Derek Honner	Resident
Ms Georgina Georgiou	Group for the protection of the Broomfield Covenants
Ms Alexandra Wilson	Resident
Ms Gillian Yeung	Resident
Ms Susan Younger	Lakes Estate Conservation Area
Ms Margaret Wilkinson	Resident
Mr Ernest Glynickx	Resident
Mr Andy Barker	Broomfield Residents' Association
Mr Peter Pate	Resident
Mr Tony Elliot	Friends of Broomfield Park
Mr Roger Blows	Broomfield House Trust

The two tables of residents at this workshop were amalgamated for the majority of the event. Therefore, many of the same points are made by both tables.

2.1 Group Discussion – Table 1

2.1.1 Community Space

Comments received:

- We would like to see more community space in these proposals.
- We would like 18 units to be provided, not 20, freeing up the whole ground floor to be used as community space.
- We would like the community space to be larger.

- There is a shortage of community facilities in the area.
- The community facility should be flexible.
- Will Enfield Council run the community facility?
- There is a danger that the community space will become a function room for residents who move in.
- Current residents will not get use of the community space.
- What will the developer do for the community as part of its S.106 agreement?
- We want developers to invest in the local community, not elsewhere.
- How do you know that the community space won't make money?
- It is not a Registered Social Landlord's job to take the community to heart.
- We (the residents) want the ground floor of Broomfield House.
- You are two units away from having residents on-side.
- This could incur bad publicity.
- The community space is too small, there must be compromise.

2.1.2 Homes for Older People

Comments received:

- We thought we would be talking about an 18 unit scheme.
- We would like 18 units to be provided, not 20, freeing up the whole ground floor to be used as community space.
- 1 less flat please.
- Why don't you go for the minimum number of units?
- You could be more creative about where the flats went.
- Is there the option to reduce the number of flats to 19?
- Provide 18 units and allow the local residents to run the ground floor of Broomfield House.

- Registered Social Landlords should be asked to tender on the basis of 18/ 19 units, unless it can be proved that this won't work.
- If Enfield Council insisted on 19 units, you would put forward 19 units.
- It's not the number of units provided that is the problem, it is the number proposed for Broomfield House.
- Can you design a proposal that has 18 units, but that remains attractive to a Registered Social Landlord.
- Enfield Council stated that there would be 18 units.
- Take one unit out of Broomfield House and add it to the Stable Block.
- How many bedrooms will the homes have?
- What is the proposed size of the units in square meters?
- Why don't you raise the cost of 18 units to make up for the loss of 2 units?
- Can you sell flats above community space?

2.1.3 Park Café

Comments received:

- The Park Café is for all park users, not us.
- How big is the Park Café?
- We have gained a café, but lost a museum.
- Would the Park Café be available in the evening?
- Will older people want to live over a café?
- Who will run the Park Café?
- The café is in the wrong place.

2.1.4 Financial Issues

Comments received:

- Do you only need another £2million?
- Will Enfield Council contribute to the funding for the community space?
- Will Enfield Council cover the ongoing costs of Broomfield House?
- Will a Registered Social Landlord take on the ongoing costs of Broomfield House?
- Enfield Council has the money, but it won't look after Broomfield House.
- Will the Registered Social Landlord put money into this development?
- The Registered Social Landlord will get money in rent from the future tenants.
- The café could fund the restoration of Broomfield House.
- Other forms of funding could be tapped into.
- Residents need to see the financial figures.

2.1.5 General Comments

Comments received:

- Enfield Council has not been listening to us.
- Is this consultation a waste of our time?
- This is not a good deal for current residents.
- What parts of this proposal can be changed?
- What is wrong with the community looking after this project as outlined in the Big Society agenda?
- Have you explored other options with Registered Social Landlords?
- The Registered Social Landlord is restoring Broomfield House for the residents.

- I would like to see Broomfield House knocked down.
- A balance must be struck between what the Registered Social Landlord wants and what the existing residents want.
- We don't know exactly what is going on.
- Build over the cabbage patch.
- This is a good deal for a Registered Social Landlord.
- Enfield Council is not being honest.
- Enfield Council needs to do the maths.
- Do you have to restore everything inside Broomfield House?
- Where would the Registered Social Landlord's responsibility end?
- This will only benefit the new residents.
- Residents have the park; they don't need gardens as well.
- Your mind has been made up.
- The primary issues are with the usage of Broomfield House and the financing of the restoration.
- We (the residents) want to see the Stage A results.
- The parking is appropriate.
- We (the residents) want the entire ground floor for community use.

2.2 Group Discussion – Table 2

2.2.1 Community Space

Comments received:

- Concerned at the size of the community space, how will it serve all of the community?
- The community would like a larger community facility.
- Assessing the surrounding community facilities is irrelevant.
- A community room to fit 50 people is insufficient.
- Initially the community had a much larger space (museum).

- Will the facility be available in the evening?
- Having music recitals in the Café may not be ideal as two of the proposed flats are on the ground floor.
- Residents of Broomfield House will take over the community facilities and current residents won't get to use them.
- We want to run the community facility.
- There should be a room for teenagers, they need somewhere to go.

2.2.2 Homes for Older People

Comments received:

- Why not reduce the number of units to 18.
- Has the return on 18/ 20 units been calculated?
- Urge calculations for 18 flats only.
- How did we get from 18 units to 20?
- If we took one unit out, could we fit the other unit in the garden?
- Why are the flat sizes so big?
- Registered Social Landlords would find 18 units a good deal, this is a stunning location.
- Why can't you make the units smaller to maximise the community space and retain 20 units.
- Can you reduce the sizes of the homes because they have access to all the amenity space offered by Broomfield Park?
- Why can't you reduce to 19 units?
- It can be argued that the flat sizes and entrances are luxurious.
- Is there the option to add external flats and remove flats from Broomfield House?

2.2.3 Park Café

Comments received:

- The Park Café is a public facility, not solely for the local community.
- Will the Park Café be franchised?
- Big Society. Why can't the community look after the café?

2.2.4 Financial Issues

Comments received:

- Has the Enfield Council got any funding to contribute?
- How much extra funding is needed?
- If funding is dependent on the provision of 18 flats, why are you proposing 20?
- Is there an endowment for maintenance?
- Will there be service charges?
- I assume Enfield Council will not be paying service charges?
- Who will pay the on-going costs?
- Have Enfield Council explored the on-going costs?
- You can't expect to gain a profit from community uses.
- Historically, Enfield Council looked after the costs, but it hasn't since the fires.
- The developer should give a financial contribution to the community as part of the planning application.
- We need to see what the actual figures are if we are to be persuaded that this is necessary.
- Has the market been tested?
- How do you know that additional community space will not bring in additional capital?

- The Registered Social Landlord will make a profit from managing these properties.
- How much are these units going to sell for? Enfield Council must have done the calculations.
- Can't Enfield Council put money in as part of its rates?
- We are discussing this too early as costs haven't been calculated.
- If the financial calculations demonstrate that 20 units are needed, we shall accept this.

2.2.5 General Comments

Comments received:

- We would all like to see Broomfield House rebuilt.
- Some of us would rather Broomfield House was not restored to avoid the housing development.
- Why can't the council be more imaginative/ flexible?
- This is a waste of time.
- Why haven't our previous concerns been noted?
- Enfield Council is not selling a good deal.
- Lots of people are interested in helping in the community.
- Build over cabbage patch.
- Will there be cheap meals for residents?
- Enfield Council has not been honest.
- Where would the Registered Social Landlord's responsibilities end?
- The Registered Social landlord could do whatever it liked.
- Enfield Council has its mind made up, it is not consulting.
- Should we petition our council representatives?
- This is not respecting the purpose of the covenant.
- The Registered Social Landlord will look after its residents, not park users.

Workshop Report

- Have council members been informed about the consultation programme?
- The historical hall must be used as a gallery.
- The gates at Broomfield Park are not functioning; people can gain access to the park at night.

3. WORKSHOP 2: 15 JANUARY, 2:00PM TO 4:00PM

The following residents were in attendance at this workshop:

Resident	Group
Mr Ron Tabor	Broomfield House Trust
Mr Roger Blows	Broomfield House Trust
Ms Shelley Morgan	Resident
Mr Zahir Anwar	Improving our Place
Mr David Abrahams	Resident
Mr Ivor Evans	Resident
Mr Frank Spinks	Resident
Ms Carolyn Ellison	Resident
Mr Travers Ellison	Resident
Dr Audrey Kirby	Southgate Civic Trust
Mrs Ann Bishop-Laggett	The Federation of Enfield Residents' and Allied Associations
Mr Adrian Bishop-Laggett	The Federation of Enfield Residents' and Allied Associations
Mr Colin Pointer	Enfield Society
Ms Angela Esposito	Resident
Mr Carbonell	Resident
Mr Karl Brown	Resident
Mr Laki Marangos	Friends of Broomfield Park
Mr Jack Shamash	Resident

3.1 Group Discussion – Table 1

3.1.1 Community Space

Comments received:

- There could be a temporary exhibition space.

- Who will run the Park Café/ community space?
- Broomfield Museum Trust could be approached re: museum use.
- The Area Action Plan demonstrates that there are no community facilities in the area. There is a need for community space.
- There needs to be better community space.
- The Broomfield House Trust wishes to use the community space for residential purposes.
- The community space could accommodate a greater range of activities if it were larger.
- Need to get as many people as possible involved in the community space.
- Be imaginative and join up the suite of rooms.

3.1.2 Homes for Older People

Comments received:

- What guarantee is there that these homes would be for older people?
- Will there be one parking space per home?
- One space per home is acceptable.
- We must ensure that these remain homes for older people.

3.1.3 General Comments

Comments received:

- Concerned that parking will spill onto Broomfield Lane/ Powys Lane.
- Drop off space is needed outside Broomfield House.
- Can the entrance accommodate fire engines?
- Broomfield House could be restored as a museum/ clinic, like it was.
- Concerned that Housing Associations are profit making entities.

- Will access be shut off at night?
- Security needs to be 100%, people are often in the park at night.
- A park warden/ concierge could be a solution.
- How does this restoration fit in with overall park strategy?
- This scheme is about as good as it can get.
- The approach to the covenant is positive.
- By increasing the number of people who have a stake in this scheme, the more it will be respected.

3.2 Group Discussion – Table 2

3.2.1 Community Space

Comments received:

- Concerned about the number of people that can fit into the community space on the ground floor.
- Is there need in the area for community space?
- All of the ground floor could be used for community space.
- Enfield Council should take on the management of the hall and the community space.

3.2.2 Homes for Older People

Comments received:

- It needs to be ensured that the housing is for older people from the local area.
- Will there be noise issues for those living on the ground floor of Broomfield House?
- Why not knock down the Bothy and replace it with flats? This would enable the ground floor to be completely given over to community space.

3.2.3 Financial Issues

Comments received:

- Do we know what value the units/ scheme will be?

3.2.4 General Comments

Comments received:

- The most important thing is to achieve the restoration of Broomfield House. If that requires the construction of 20 units then so be it.
- What will the length of the lease be?
- CCTV should be considered.

3.3 Group Discussion – Table 3

3.3.1 Community Space

Comments received:

- The ground floor should all be given over to community space.
- Will the community space be run in the same way as Salisbury Hall?
- Enfield Council should manage the community space.
- The ground floor should be completely given over to community space to mitigate for the loss of the Stable Yard and first floor of Broomfield House to housing.
- Broomfield is becoming more and more multi-cultural; the community space should recognise this shift in community dynamics.

- The scheme should only go ahead if all of Broomfield House's ground floor is public space.
- Enfield Council should approach the Greater London Authority with a 16 unit scheme.
- The community space should be versatile.
- Have you evaluated the need for community space?
- The Broomfield House Trust would object to a 20 unit scheme as the whole ground floor should be reserved for the community.

3.3.2 Homes for Older People

Comments received:

- Are the 18 units set in stone? If not, can the number provided be reduced?
- Challenge the funding letter. Can we reduce the number of units to 17 and still get the £5.97million?
- There may be a problem with the distance between Broomfield House and the gate as the older people may find it difficult to walk between the two.

3.3.3 Park Café

Comments received:

- Make sure the café is not a Starbucks.
- The community café should be like the one at Forty Hall.
- The Park Café must benefit the community.
- Would Enfield Council be involved with running the Park Café?

3.3.4 Financial Issues

Comments received:

- There is no funding from Enfield Council; it is not taking responsibility for this project.
- Enfield Council has wasted money keeping Broomfield House as it is.
- Has £500,000 been spent on the consultation already?
- What are the running costs for the Park Café/ community space?
- Broomfield House was insufficiently insured and then Enfield Council washed its hands of it.
- Do you have a breakdown of the costs for a Registered Social Landlord?
- You need to be certain of your figures.
- 18 units for £2million investment is a good deal.

3.3.5 General Comments

Comments received:

- There is no problem with the principle of this development.
- The principle of this development is a brilliant idea.
- What some residents want is not what the Broomfield House Trust wants.
- We need to move forward with a positive mindset.
- Enfield Council has failed to keep us informed properly.
- Nothing was taken on board during the previous consultation.
- Something needs to be done before today's children become too old to enjoy Broomfield House.
- Some residents don't want anything done.
- Residents are concerned about Broomfield House, not the Stable Block.
- Enfield Council must do something to make it better.
- A pedestrian route must be clearly marked along the entrance route.

Workshop Report

- Traffic calming should be introduced in Broomfield Lane.
- Fire and rescue access should be seriously considered.
- Our main concern is that this will change the nature of the park.
- Is the Bothy listed? If not can it be pulled down?
- We want to be told what is going to happen.
- Both residents and Enfield Council need to be flexible.
- The Friends of Broomfield Park has a shed within a garage near the Stable Yard.
- Broomfield Park and Broomfield House are one entity. If one is run down, so is the other.

4. ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK

At the workshops, comment forms were made available for all attendees. These forms allowed residents to give feedback that they didn't have a chance to give during the main body of the workshop, or to leave feedback that was more personal to them.

The comments received on the comment forms were as follows:

4.1.1 Community Space

Comment	Frequency
We want all of the ground floor for public use.	2
Care will be required when setting the hours the community space can be in use to respect residents.	1
Public space should be made available for local, small groups.	1
Meeting area could be used by local Primary Schools to view wildlife (learning resource).	1
Could be used as a base for youngsters/ older people to get active.	1
The local authority could invite local speakers on wildlife/ bird watching etc to speak here.	1
Community use should be a secondary consideration.	1
Broomfield House has never had space for community activities, this is important.	1

4.1.2 Homes for Older People

Comment	Frequency
The main issue is to restore Broomfield House. If 18 units	3

will do it, all well and good, but if it requires 20 then so be it.	
We want only 18 units.	1

4.1.3 Park Café

Comment	Frequency
Make sure the café is not a Starbucks.	1
Could be used as a meeting place for nanny's	1

4.1.4 Financial Issues

Comment	Frequency
If, financially, the scheme could work with 18 units, giving over the whole ground floor for Café/ community use that is fine, but this is a secondary issue to the restoration of Broomfield House.	1
The economics of getting Broomfield House restored must be the primary consideration.	1

4.1.5 General Comments

Comment	Frequency
Details of the appropriation process are needed.	1
Would public access through the 'Tudor' façade to the loos be restricted?	1
FERAA's point of view is that the restoration of Broomfield House is paramount.	1

Workshop Report

It is important that the scheme is not derailed by a vocal minority.	1
A good scheme that needs to raise maximum revenue from new build.	1
Please make sure a fire engine can access the site.	1
This is probably the last chance for Broomfield House and it should be restored.	1

5. ENFIELD COUNCIL'S CONSULTATION RESPONSE

Enfield Council would like to thank all those who took the time to attend one or both of the workshops on proposals to restore Broomfield House.

Broomfield House has been derelict for too long. Enfield Council is consulting on its emerging proposals for Broomfield House because it is seeking to restore the House in a way that is both acceptable for the community and financially viable. It will thoroughly analyse all the suggestions received as part of this consultation and, wherever possible, these suggestions will be incorporated in the final scheme.

Enfield Council recognises that representatives from the Broomfield House Trust and the Friends of Broomfield House, amongst other local residents, would like to see the entire ground floor of Broomfield House given over for community use, thus bringing the amount of homes provided for older people down from 20 to 18. Faithful+Gould has undertaken a thorough assessment of available community space within a one mile radius of Broomfield House (see Appendix 3) and this has demonstrated that there are at least 16 venues providing community space within this area. Of these 16 venues it is recognised that 4 are schools (Hazelwood School, Walker Primary School, Bounds Green Junior School and Broomfield School) and as such they are only available outside of working hours. However, the remaining 12 venues offer a range of easily accessible community space. A financial viability study of the available community space is currently being undertaken and the results of this study, like the results of the community space study, will be taken into account before any proposals for Broomfield House are submitted to Enfield Council.

The restoration of Broomfield House is Enfield Council's key objective. Therefore, the council is seeking to ensure that the proposals not only provide community space, but that they are also self-financing. By proposing 20 housing units, the council is ensuring the necessary investment from a Registered Social Landlord whilst providing community space and a Park Café. Given that there is already a significant amount of local community space it may not

aid the key goal of this process - restoring Broomfield House - to enlarge the community space so that it includes the entire ground floor of the House.

Some attendees suggested at the workshops that Enfield Council should run the community space within Broomfield House. This is a possibility which the council will look at more closely. If, as is suggested, the council goes into partnership with an RSL, the council will sell a lease to the RSL which will entitle it to the leasehold of the property for 100 to 125 years. In this eventuality it would make sense for the council to allow the RSL to operate the community space within the House as it would be responsible for the upkeep of the House. However, it is of primary importance to the council that the community space should be versatile, accessible and well used; therefore, the council will issue guidelines with its future tender documents detailing how it envisages the community space to be run. This will ensure that the community space is run as it would be if the council was operating it.

It is a key goal for Enfield Council to ensure that the Park Café proposed as part of this scheme is well run and welcoming to all park users. The council envisages that this space will work in conjunction with the community space and contribute to the enjoyment of park users. If planning permission is granted for these proposals, the council will look more closely at how the Park Café is run. However, it is likely that the space will pass to the partner RSL as part of the lease arrangement. In this case, the RSL will seek to find a tenant to run the Park Café. If you are interested in operating this café, please contact Enfield Council.

The concept of restoring Broomfield House by providing homes for older people was brought forward because it would allow for the restoration of Broomfield House to be a self-financing project. Enfield council understands that Broomfield House would, ideally, host the activities that it accommodated before the fire of 1984. However, at this time, this is not possible; therefore, the council is seeking to restore the House by using funding from the Greater London Authority. The House's structure is degrading more and more and this proposal is being brought forward in order to restore the House before it becomes too weak

to be restored. By providing homes for older people, the council is seizing an opportunity to restore Broomfield House before it is too late.

Enfield Council is seeking to partner with an RSL because, even with the Greater London Authority's funding, the restoration of Broomfield House would require further investment of around £2million. Any partner RSL will be required to bridge this funding gap. An RSL will deliver this project and make these proposals a reality.

5.1 Key Changes to the Scheme

The proposals for Broomfield House are not finalised and as such the scheme is subject to change up to the planning submission date (targeted for May 2011). Following the public consultation, several key changes have been made to the scheme as follows:

- Several residents raised concerns about the security of Broomfield House; therefore, improved security measures have been incorporated for the House.
- During the consultation, many different suggestions were put forward for how residents would like to see the community space used. To ensure that this space can be put to as many uses as possible, the principle rooms have been re-defined as a flexible suite of spaces that can be used together or separately.
- Changes to the landscape outside the proposed Park Café will be made to provide a level seating area that is clearly separate to the main driveway.
- Landscaping will be included to ensure the privacy of the proposed flats.
- The project team is currently developing ideas for the improvement of the proposed communal gardens within the Stable Yard. Current ideas include the provision for the growth of vegetables, fruit and flowers.
- A tea point has been provided in the Regency Dining Room.
- A cleaner's cupboard will be included in the public/ community space.
- The layout of the flats has been refined.

Workshop Report

- The garden cottages will be provided with private, outside seating areas.

6. CONCLUSION

The objective of this report is to record the comments and opinions held by the people who attended the workshop, voiced their opinions and gave their comments. This report will be circulated to the London Borough of Enfield, the local Member of Parliament, the local London Assembly Member and all those who requested a copy of the report and left their contact details.

We would like to thank all those who took the time to come to the workshops and partake in the discussions on the future of Broomfield House.

6.1 Next Steps

The comments summarised in this report will be fed into the design process and considered by the project team. The team intends to keep the local community updated as the proposals progress. An application is due to be submitted to the London Borough of Enfield in May of this year.

6.2 Further Information

If you have any comments on this report or would like further information, please contact Ed Grieve at:

Address: Green Issues Communications
The Registry
Royal Mint Court
London
EC3N 4QN

Tel: 020 7457 2055

Email: edg@greenissues.com

7. APPENDIX 1: LIST OF RESIDENTS' GROUPS

- Age Concern Enfield
- Bromfield Residents' Association
- Broomfield House Trust
- Enfield Over 50s Forum
- Enfield Society
- Fox Lane & District Residents' Association
- Federation of Enfield Residents' and Allied Associations
- Friends of Broomfield Park
- Group for the protection of the Broomfield Covenants
- Improving Our Place
- Lakes Estate Conservation Area
- Southgate Civic Trust

8. APPENDIX 2: INVITATION LETTER

GreenIssues
Communications

Name
Address 1
Address 2
Address 3
Post Code

05 January 2011

Dear Resident,

The Future of Broomfield House: Have your say

I am writing to inform you of the forthcoming public consultation about proposals for the restoration of Broomfield House. Enfield Council is seeking to bring Broomfield House back into use and is looking at options to achieve this.

As a local resident living close to Broomfield House we would like to invite you to attend one of the community workshops we will be running in January. At these workshops we will discuss the emerging options and seek to gain your ideas and comments.

These workshops will take place at **Broomfield School, Wilmer Way, London N14 7HY** on:

Thursday 13 January: 6:30pm – 8:30pm

Saturday 15 January: 2:00pm – 4:00pm

We hope that you are available to attend and take part in the discussion on the future of Broomfield House.

Enfield Council is also holding a public exhibition on the emerging options for Broomfield House. The exhibition will be staffed at all times by representatives of the project team who will be happy to answer any questions residents may have. Feedback forms will be available at the exhibition for residents to provide us with their views on the proposals. Invitations to this event will be sent out to local residents and groups shortly.

If you are interested in securing a place at our workshops, or if you are interested in meeting with the council to discuss these proposals further, please do not hesitate to contact me on 020 7457 2055 or via e-mail at edg@greenissues.com

Yours faithfully



Ed Grieve
Green Issues Communications

Green Issues Communications Limited
Registered office: The Registry | Royal Mint Court | London EC3N 4QN | UK
Registered in England & Wales: Number 2272789 VAT GB 972 0237 30

The Registry
Royal Mint Court
London
EC3N 4QN | UK
T +44 (0) 207 457 2020
www.greenissues.com

Part of CollegePublicPolicy

A CollegeGroup Company
International
business communications
consultancy.
www.thecollegroup.com

9. APPENDIX 3 – COMMUNITY FACILITIES STUDY



